1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 5 6 In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC LITIGATION 7 MDL No. 1917 This Document Relates To: 8 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE 9 ALL INDIRECT-PURCHASER ACTIONS MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF THEIR OPPOSITION TO 10 PANASONIC'S MOTION FOR Sharp Electronics Corp., et. al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al., SUMMARY JUDGMENT No. 13-cv-01173 11 PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL **RULES 7-11 AND 79-5(d)** Electrograph Sys., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., 12 No. 11-cv-01656; 13 Electrograph Sys. Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05724; 14 Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05502; 15 Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261; 16 Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 17 11-cv-05513: 18 Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., 19 No. 13-cv-05264; 20 Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et 21 al., No. 11-cv-05514; 22 Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-23 05686; 24 Sears, Roebuck & Co., et al. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05514; 25 Sears, Roebuck & Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et 26 al., No. 13-cv-05262; 27 Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., 28 No.11-cv-06275; Interbond Corp. of Am. v. Technicolor SA, et al., PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL

1	
2	No.13-cv-05727;
3	Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-06276;
5	Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05726;
7	CompuCom Systems, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-06396;
8 9	P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 12-cv-02648;
1011	P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corp., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05725;
12	Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 12-cv-02649;
13 14	Schultze Agency Servs., LLC v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05668;
15 16	Tech Data Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-00157
17 18	The Court has considered Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Seal the following portions
19	of their Opposition to Panasonic's Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to Local Rules 7-11
20	and 79-5(d):
21 22	 The highlighted portions of plaintiffs' Opposition to Panasonic's Motion for Summary Judgment.
23	• Exhibits 2-25 to Plaintiffs' Opposition.
24	Having read and considered the papers filed and arguments made by counsel, if any, IT IS
25	HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Their Opposition
26	to Panasonic's Motion for Summary Judgment Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d) s
2728	hereby GRANTED.

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 3248-2 Filed 12/23/14 Page 3 of 3 Dated: _____, 2014 HON. SAMUEL CONTI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL